arXiv:math/0602667v2 [math.CV] 27 Jul 2006

THE PICARD GROUP OF A LOOP SPACE

NING ZHANG

Abstract. The loop space $L\mathbb{P}_1$ of the Riemann sphere consisting of all C^k or Sobolev $W^{k,p}$ maps $S^1 \to \mathbb{P}_1$ is an infinite dimensional complex manifold. We compute the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ of holomorphic line bundles on $L\mathbb{P}_1$ as an infinite dimensional complex Lie group with Lie algebra the Dolbeault group $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$. The group of Möbius transformations G and its loop group LG act on $L\mathbb{P}_1$. We prove that an element of $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ is LG-fixed if it is G-fixed; thus completely answer the question by Millson and Zombro about G-equivariant projective embedding of $L\mathbb{P}_1$.

1. Introduction

Let M be a finite dimensional complex manifold. We fix a smoothness class C^k, $k = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty$, or Sobolev $W^{k,p}$, $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and consider the loop space LM of all maps $S^1 \to M$ with the given regularity. It is an infinite dimensional complex Banach/Fréchet manifold, see [5]. The goal of this paper is to study the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ of holomorphic line bundles on the loop space $L\mathbb{P}_1$ of the Riemann sphere and its relation with the first Dolbeault cohomology group $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$.

Let G denote the group $PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$ of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_1$. Its loop space LG with pointwise group operation is again a complex Lie group and acts on $L\mathbb{P}_1$ holomorphically; it also acts on $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ and Dolbeault groups of $L\mathbb{P}_1$. In [7], Millson and Zombro raised the following question, which is a direct motivation to study holomorphic line bundles on $L\mathbb{P}_1$: does there exist a G-equivariant embedding of $L\mathbb{P}_1$ into a projectivized Banach/Fréchet space? In [10], the infinite dimensional subgroup of $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ consisting of LG-fixed elements was explicitly constructed; furthermore, it was proved that the space of holomorphic sections of any line bundle in this subgroup is finite dimensional. In finite dimensions, the Picard group of a complex manifold is closely related to its first Dolbeault group; and $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ was computed and its irreducible G-submodules were identified in [6]. The results of this paper bring a complete answer to Millson and Zombro's question.

In this paper we shall prove the following:

Let $\mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ consisting of topologically trivial line bundles.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32Q99, 58B12, 58D15, 46G20.

Theorem 1.1. *There is an exact sequence of homomorphisms*

$$0 \to H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{E}} \mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1) \to 0. \tag{1}$$

The maps in (1) are explicitly constructed, and are equivariant with respect to the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $L\mathbb{P}_1$.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the computation of $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ from $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$. With a natural topology, $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ is a complex locally convex topological space, see [6, Section 0]; and there is a unique complex Lie group structure on $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ such that the map $\mathfrak{E}$ in (1) is holomorphic, actually it can be considered as $\exp(2\pi i\cdot)$, where exp is the exponential map of the Lie group.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}^*$) denote the sheaf of germs of holomorphic (resp. nonvanishing holomorphic) functions. Another consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the Dolbeault isomorphism $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \simeq H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O})$.

If a group $\mathcal{G}$ acts on a set V, we write $V^{\mathcal{G}}$ for the $\mathcal{G}$-fixed subset.

Theorem 1.2. $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G = \mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^{LG}$; $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G = H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^{LG}$.

By Theorem 1.2 and [10, Theorem 1.2], we obtain the following

Corollary 1.3. *If* $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G$, *then* $\dim H^0(L\mathbb{P}_1, \Lambda) < \infty$.

Therefore there does not exist a G-equivariant embedding of $L\mathbb{P}_1$ into a projectivized Banach/Fréchet space; otherwise the pull back of the hyperplane bundle is in $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G$, and the space of its holomorphic sections is infinite dimensional, contradiction.

We would like to point out the following difference between finite dimensions and infinite dimensions. The short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\exp(2\pi i\cdot)} \mathcal{O}^* \to 0$$

on a (paracompact) complex manifold N induces a long exact sequence of sheaf cohomology groups

$$\cdots \to H^1(N, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(N, \mathcal{O}) \to H^1(N, \mathcal{O}^*) \to H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) \to \cdots;$$

and $H^1(N, \mathcal{O}^*) \simeq \mathrm{Pic}(N)$. In finite dimensions, a map $H^{0,1}(N) \to \mathrm{Pic}(N)$ similar to the one in (1) follows from the Dolbeault isomorphism $H^{0,1}(N) \simeq H^1(N, \mathcal{O})$. However, such an isomorphism in general fails on an infinite dimensional complex Banach manifold or even an open subset of a complex Banach space, see [9]; and it is in general unknown on loop spaces.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we mainly recall some relevant facts about loop spaces and in particular, $L\mathbb{P}_1$. In Section 3, we relate $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ and $\mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ through normalized additive and multiplicative Čech 1-cocycles with respect to a fixed open covering of $L\mathbb{P}_1$, and prove Theorem 1.1, followed by its immediate applications. Finally in Section 4, we study structures of $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G$ and $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G$, and prove Theorem 1.2; here some key objects introduced in [6] to understand $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ take simpler forms and have alternative explanations when restricted to $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G$.

The author would like to thank Professor László Lempert for his valuable comments on the manuscript that helped to improve the writing.

2. Preliminaries

Fix a smoothness class C^k, $k = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty$, or Sobolev $W^{k,p}$, $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. If M is a finite dimensional complex manifold, then the loop space $LM = L_k M$, or $L_{k,p}M$, of maps $S^1 \to M$ with the given regularity is a complex manifold locally biholomorphic to open subsets of a Banach space, except for $L_\infty M$, which is modeled on a Fréchet space. If $\phi : M \to N$ is holomorphic, then $L\phi : LM \ni x \mapsto \phi \circ x \in LN$ is holomorphic. Thus L is functorial. Given $t \in S^1$, the evaluation map $E_t : LM \ni x \mapsto x(t) \in M$ is holomorphic. For all above, see [5].

The constant loops form a submanifold of LM, which can be identified with M. If M is paracompact, so is LM, see [3, Proposition 42.3] for $L_\infty M$ and [8, Theorem 14.17] for all other cases.

The loop space of a finite dimensional complex Lie group is still a complex Lie group with pointwise group operation. Let $G \simeq PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$ be as in Section 1. Apply the functor L to the holomorphic action $G \times \mathbb{P}_1 \to \mathbb{P}_1$, we obtain a holomorphic action $LG \times L\mathbb{P}_1 \to L\mathbb{P}_1$, which induces the (right) LG-action on Dolbeault groups of $L\mathbb{P}_1$ and $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ by pull-backs.

The group $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ was identified in [6] as in the following. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the space of holomorphic functions $F : \mathbb{C} \times L\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ that have the following properties:

$$\begin{cases} F(\zeta/\lambda, \lambda^2 y) = O(\lambda^2) \text{ as } \mathbb{C} \ni \lambda \to 0; \\ F(\zeta, x+y) = F(\zeta, x) + F(\zeta, y) \text{ if } \mathrm{supp} x \cap \mathrm{supp} y = \emptyset; \\ F(\zeta, y + \mathrm{const}) = F(\zeta, y). \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

With the compact-open topology, $\mathfrak{F}$ is a complex locally convex space. There is a holomorphic (right) G-action on $\mathfrak{F}$: if $g \in G$, write $J_g(\zeta) = d(g\zeta)/d\zeta$, and set

$$(Fg)(\zeta, y) = F(g\zeta, y/J_g(\zeta))J_g(\zeta)$$

(which is defined for $g\zeta \neq \infty$ and can be extended to $\mathbb{C} \times L\mathbb{C}$ by the first property of F). Then there exists a G-equivariant isomorphism $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \simeq \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{F}$ of complex locally convex spaces, where G acts on the right hand side by the second component.

Proposition 2.1. *The group $H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$; and the map $H^2(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^2(\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{P}_1 \xrightarrow{i} L\mathbb{P}_1$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $L_0\mathbb{P}_1$ be the continuous loop space of $\mathbb{P}_1$. By [1, Part II, Proposition 15.33], $H^1(L_0\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $H^2(L_0\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Since the inclusion $L\mathbb{P}_1 \to L_0\mathbb{P}_1$ is a homotopy equivalence, see [8, Theorem 13.14] (and the remark following its proof), we have $H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $H^2(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. As $E_t \circ i = id : \mathbb{P}_1 \to \mathbb{P}_1$, where E_t is the evaluation map at $t \in S^1$, the map $\mathbb{Z} \simeq H^2(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^2(\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is surjective, and any surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism. □

We finish this section by the following proposition about certain holomorphic extension of sections of a line bundle over a fiber bundle. Its proof is similar to that of [10, Proposition 2.3].

Proposition 2.2. *Let $M \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a holomorphic fiber bundle with fibers F_b finite dimensional connected compact complex manifolds, let $E \xrightarrow{\tau} M$ be a holomorphic line bundle such that $E|_{F_b}$ is trivial for all $b \in B$. If $u : B \to E$ is holomorphic and $\tau \circ u$ is a section of $M \xrightarrow{\pi} B$, then there exists a unique $s \in H^0(M, E)$ such that $s \circ \tau \circ u = u$. If u is non-vanishing, so is s.*

Proof. Uniqueness follows since $s|_{F_b}$ is the unique holomorphic section of $E|_{F_b}$ such that $s(\tau \circ u(b)) = u(b)$.

As to existence, let s be the section of $E \xrightarrow{\tau} M$ such that $s|_{F_b}$ is the unique holomorphic section of $E|_{F_b}$ satisfying $s(\tau \circ u(b)) = u(b)$. If u is non-vanishing, so is s. Next we show that s is holomorphic. Let $b_0 \in B$. By [4, Proposition 5.1] (where we choose $p = 0$, $q = 1$ and $f = 0$), there exist a neighborhood $b_0 \in B_0 \subset B$ and a section $v \in C^\infty(\pi^{-1}(B_0), E)$ such that $v|_{F_b}$ is holomorphic for any $b \in B_0$, and $v|_{F_{b_0}} \neq 0$. By choosing a sufficiently small B_0 we can assume that $\pi^{-1}(B_0) \xrightarrow{\pi} B_0$ is trivial and v is non-vanishing. As a function on $\pi^{-1}(B_0)$, s/v is constant on each fiber F_b, and can also be considered as a function on B_0. Since $s/v(b) = u(b)/v(\tau \circ u(b))$, s/v is C^∞. Therefore s is C^∞, and holomorphic on each fiber F_b as well as the cross section $\tau \circ u$ of $M \xrightarrow{\pi} B$. Apply [4, Proposition 5.2 (ii)] to the (0,1) form $\bar\partial s$, we obtain that $\bar\partial s = 0$. □

3. The map $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$

In this section we explicitly construct the map $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ as in (1) and prove Theorem 1.1; then we compute $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ and prove the Dolbeault isomorphism $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \simeq H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O})$ as corollaries.

If $a, b, \cdots \in \mathbb{P}_1$, set $U_{ab\cdots} = \mathbb{P}_1 \setminus \{a, b, \cdots\}$. Thus

$$L\mathfrak{U} = \{LU_a : a \in \mathbb{P}_1\} \tag{3}$$

is an open covering of $L\mathbb{P}_1$. We consider $\mathbb{P}_1$ as $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and identify LU_∞ with $L\mathbb{C}$, a Fréchet algebra. If $g \in G$, then $g(LU_{ab\cdots}) = LU_{g(a)g(b)\cdots}$.

Given $v : \mathbb{P}_1 \to \mathbb{C}$, finitely many $a, b, \cdots \in \mathbb{P}_1$ and a function $u : LU_{ab\cdots} \to \mathbb{C}$, we say that u is cuspidal with respect to v if

$$\lim_{\mathbb{C} \ni \lambda \to \infty} u\big(g(x + \lambda)\big) = v\big(g(\infty)\big)$$

for any $g \in G$ that maps ∞ to one of $a, b, \cdots$ and $x \in LU_{g^{-1}(a)g^{-1}(b)\cdots} \subset L\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathfrak{H}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{H}^*$) be the linear space (resp. group) of holomorphic additive (resp. multiplicative) Čech 1-cocycles $(\mathfrak{h}_{ab} \in \mathcal{O}(LU_{ab}))_{a,b \in \mathbb{P}_1}$ (resp. $(\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab} \in \mathcal{O}^*(LU_{ab}))_{a,b \in \mathbb{P}_1}$) of the covering $L\mathfrak{U}$ such that $\mathfrak{h}_{ab}(x)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab}(x)$)

is cuspidal with respect to $v \equiv 0$ (resp. $v \equiv 1$). The space $\mathfrak{H}$ was introduced in [6, Section 3] with the additional requirement that $\mathfrak{h}_{ab}(x)$ is holomorphic in a and b, we shall show in Corollary 3.3 that it is automatically satisfied.

If $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}^* \in \mathfrak{H}^*$) and $g \in G$, then the pull back $g^*\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}$ (resp. $g^*\mathfrak{h}^* \in \mathfrak{H}^*$), and we have a well-defined action of G on $\mathfrak{H}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{H}^*$).

By Proposition 2.1, a bundle $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ is in $\mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ if and only if $\Lambda|_{\mathbb{P}_1}$ is trivial. Next we construct a homomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1) \to \mathfrak{H}^*$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$, let v be a non-vanishing holomorphic section of $\Lambda|_{\mathbb{P}_1}$ and $x_0 \in L\mathbb{C}^*$ (where $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$). Given any $a \in \mathbb{P}_1$, there exists a unique non-vanishing section $\sigma_a = \sigma_{a,v,x_0} \in H^0(LU_a, \Lambda)$ such that*

$$\lim_{\mathbb{C} \ni \lambda \to \infty} \sigma_a(g(x + \lambda x_0)) = v(a) \tag{4}$$

for any $x \in LU_\infty$ and $g \in G$ with $g(\infty) = a$. Furthermore, $\sigma(a, y) = \sigma_a(y)$ is holomorphic in (a, y).

Proof. Let ϕ be a continuous complex linear functional on LU_∞ such that $\phi(x_0) = 1$, let $Z = \ker(\phi)$ and consider the map

$$P : \mathbb{P}_1 \times G \times Z \ni (\lambda, g, z) \mapsto g(z + \lambda x_0) \in L\mathbb{P}_1$$

and the pull-back bundle $P^*\Lambda$. Note that $P|_{\mathbb{P}_1 \times \{g\} \times \{z\}}$ is an embedding, and its image $\{g(z + \lambda x_0) : \lambda \in \mathbb{P}_1\}$ is homotopic to $\mathbb{P}_1 \subset L\mathbb{P}_1$. Since $\Lambda|_{\mathbb{P}_1}$ is trivial, so are $\Lambda|_{\{g(z+\lambda x_0):\lambda \in \mathbb{P}_1\}}$ and $P^*\Lambda|_{\mathbb{P}_1 \times \{g\} \times \{z\}}$.

The uniqueness of σ_a follows from the fact that, for fixed $g \in G$ with $g(\infty) = a$, $LU_a \cup \{a\}$ is covered by curves of the form $\{g(z + \lambda x_0) : \lambda \in \mathbb{P}_1\}$, and σ_a is the unique non-vanishing holomorphic section of Λ on each curve satisfying (4).

As to the existence, since P maps $\{\infty\} \times G \times Z$ to $\mathbb{P}_1 \subset L\mathbb{P}_1$, P^*v is a non-vanishing holomorphic section of $P^*\Lambda$ over $\{\infty\} \times G \times Z$. Apply Proposition 2.2 to the line bundle $P^*\Lambda$ over the trivial $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle $\mathbb{P}_1 \times G \times Z$, where u is chosen to be $G \times Z \ni (g, z) \mapsto P^*v(\infty, g, z) \in P^*\Lambda$, we obtain a unique non-vanishing section $\tilde{\sigma} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}_1 \times G \times Z, P^*\Lambda)$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}(\infty, g, z) = P^*v(\infty, g, z)$. Let $\tilde{P} : P^*\Lambda \to \Lambda$ be the bundle map associated with P. If $g(\infty) = a$, then P maps $\mathbb{C} \times \{g\} \times Z$ biholomorphically onto LU_a. Let

$$\sigma_a = \tilde{P}(\tilde{\sigma}|_{\mathbb{C} \times \{g\} \times Z}) \in H^0(LU_a, \Lambda). \tag{5}$$

It is clear that σ_a satisfies (4).

If we choose $g \in G$ with $g(\infty) = a$ such that g depends holomorphically on a (which can be done locally), then

$$\sigma_a(y) = \tilde{P}\left(\tilde{\sigma}\left(\phi\left(g^{-1}(y)\right), g, g^{-1}(y) - \phi\left(g^{-1}(y)\right) x_0\right)\right)$$

is holomorphic in (a, y). □

Set $x_0 = 1$ in Proposition 3.1. It follows from (4), where we choose $x = 0$, that $\sigma_a|_{U_a}$ extends to be a holomorphic section of $\Lambda|_{\mathbb{P}_1}$ with value $v(a)$ at a, so $\sigma_a|_{U_a} = v|_{U_a}$. Since Λ determines v up to a multiplicative constant, we can uniquely associate with Λ the multiplicative Čech 1-cocycle $(\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab} = \sigma_b/\sigma_a)_{a,b\in\mathbb{P}_1}$; the properties of σ_a imply that $(h^*_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{H}^*$.

Proposition 3.2. *The map* $\Lambda \mapsto (h^*_{ab})$ *is a* G*-equivariant isomorphism* $\operatorname{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1) \to \mathfrak{H}^*$.

Proof. This map is clearly a G-equivariant homomorphism. If Λ is in the kernel, then $\sigma_b/\sigma_a \equiv 1$, or $\sigma_a = \sigma_b$ on LU_{ab} for all $a, b \in \mathbb{P}_1$; so they form a non-vanishing holomorphic section of Λ on all of $L\mathbb{P}_1$.

Given $(\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{H}^*$, we can construct a line bundle Λ by taking the union of $LU_a \times \mathbb{C}$ over all $a \in \mathbb{P}_1$ and identify $\{x\} \times \mathbb{C}$ in LU_a and LU_b via multiplication by $\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab}(x)$. We denote the non-vanishing section on LU_a corresponding to $LU_a \times \{1\}$ by σ_a, then $\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab} = \sigma_b/\sigma_a$. Since $\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab}|_{U_{ab}} \equiv 1$, there is a unique non-vanishing holomorphic section v of $\Lambda|_{\mathbb{P}_1}$ such that $v|_{U_a} = \sigma_a|_{U_a}$. By Proposition 2.1, $\Lambda \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$. Given $g \in G$ with $g(\infty) = a$, it follows from the cuspidal property of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ that

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \sigma_a(g(x+\lambda)) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{\sigma_b}{\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab}}(g(x+\lambda)) = \sigma_b(a) = v(a),$$

i.e. σ_a satisfies the properties as in Proposition 3.1. ☐

Any $(\mathfrak{h}_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{H}$ (resp. $(\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{H}^*$) can be considered as a function on

$$\Omega = \{(a, b, x) \in \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1 \times L\mathbb{P}_1 : a, b \notin x(S^1)\}.$$

From Proposition 3.2 we obtain the following

Corollary 3.3. *If* $(\mathfrak{h}_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{H}$ *(resp.* $(\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{H}^*$*), then* $\mathfrak{h}_{ab}(x)$ *(resp.* $\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab}(x)$*) is holomorphic in* $(a, b, x) \in \Omega$.

Proof. Given $(\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{H}^*$, by Proposition 3.2 there exist $\Lambda \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ and sections $\sigma_a \in H^0(LU_a, \Lambda)$, $a \in \mathbb{P}_1$, as in Proposition 3.1 such that $\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab} = \sigma_b/\sigma_a$, where $\sigma(a, x) = \sigma_a(x)$ is holomorphic in (a, x). The conclusion immediately follows for $(\mathfrak{h}^*_{ab})$. The same conclusion for $(\mathfrak{h}_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{H}$ follows from the fact that $(\mathfrak{h}_{ab})$ is a logarithm of $(\exp(\mathfrak{h}_{ab})) \in \mathfrak{H}^*$. ☐

As a function space on Ω with the compact-open topology, $\mathfrak{H}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{H}^*$) is a complex locally convex space (resp. complex Lie group).

Recall the function space $\mathfrak{F}$ defined as in (2). It was proved in [6, Section 3] that there exists a G-equivariant isomorphism $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \to \mathfrak{H}$ of complex locally convex spaces. In [6, Section 4], a map $\alpha : H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \simeq \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{F}$ was introduced as follows. Given $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{H}$, the cocycle relation implies that $d_\zeta \mathfrak{h}_{a\zeta}(x)$ is independent of a; for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ we can write

$$d_\zeta \mathfrak{h}_{a\zeta}(x) = F\left(\zeta, \frac{1}{\zeta - x}\right) d\zeta, \quad x \in LU_\zeta, \tag{6}$$

where $F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \times L\mathbb{C})$. The space of all such F is precisely $\mathfrak{F}$. Define $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}) = F$; and α is a continuous G-morphism. In [6, Section 5], a right inverse of α was constructed, which turned out to be G-equivariant; and it was showed that the kernel of α is one dimensional, spanned by the G-invariant cocycle

$$\mathfrak{h}_{ab}(x) = \mathrm{ind}_{ab} x \tag{7}$$

(the winding number of $x : S^1 \to U_{ab}$).

Lemma 3.4. *The G-equivariant homomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{E} : H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \simeq \mathfrak{H} \ni (\mathfrak{h}_{ab}) \mapsto (\exp(2\pi i \mathfrak{h}_{ab})) \in \mathfrak{H}^* \simeq \mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1) \tag{8}$$

is surjective. Its kernel is the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by the cocycle in (7).

Proof. Given $\mathfrak{h}^* \in \mathfrak{H}^*$, let $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ be the line bundle corresponding to $\mathfrak{h}^*$ as in Proposition 3.2, $\{\sigma_a \in H^0(LU_a, \Lambda)\}_{a \in \mathbb{P}_1}$ the family of sections as in Proposition 3.1 and σ a non-vanishing continuous section of Λ. Let $\psi_a^* = \sigma_a/\sigma \in C(LU_a)$. Then $\psi_b^*/\psi_a^* = \sigma_b/\sigma_a = \mathfrak{h}_{ab}^*$. Fix a branch u of the logarithm of $\frac{v}{\sigma} \in C(\mathbb{P}_1)$. As $\psi_a^*|_{U_a} = \frac{v}{\sigma}|_{U_a}$ and LU_a is simply connected, there exists a unique ψ_a such that $\psi_a^* = \exp(2\pi i \psi_a)$ and $2\pi i \psi_a|_{U_a} = u|_{U_a}$.

Consider the additive Čech 1-cocycle $(\mathfrak{h}_{ab} = \psi_b - \psi_a)$, we have

$$\exp(2\pi i \mathfrak{h}_{ab}) = \exp(2\pi i(\psi_b - \psi_a)) = \frac{\psi_b^*}{\psi_a^*} = \mathfrak{h}_{ab}^*,$$

so $2\pi i \mathfrak{h}_{ab}$ is the logarithm of $\mathfrak{h}_{ab}^*$ such that $\mathfrak{h}_{ab}|_{U_{ab}} = 0$, it is therefore holomorphic.

To show that $\mathfrak{h}_{ab}$ is cuspidal with respect to the constant 0, consider again the map P, the pull back bundle $P^*\Lambda$ and the section $\tilde{\sigma}$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $\psi^* = \tilde{\sigma}/P^*\sigma \in C(\mathbb{P}_1 \times G \times Z)$, where $P^*\sigma$ is the pull back section. Given $g \in G$ with $g(\infty) = a$, P maps $\mathbb{C} \times \{g\} \times Z$ biholomorphically onto LU_a, and maps $\{\infty\} \times \{g\} \times Z$ to $a \in L\mathbb{P}_1$. So $\psi^*|_{\{\infty\}\times\{g\}\times Z} = \frac{v}{\sigma}(a)$, and it follows from (5) that

$$\psi_a^*\big(g(z+\lambda)\big) = \psi^*(\lambda, g, z). \tag{9}$$

The space $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \{g\} \times Z$ is simply connected, so there exists a unique $\psi_g \in C(\mathbb{P}_1 \times \{g\} \times Z)$ such that $\exp(2\pi i \psi_g) = \psi^*|_{\mathbb{P}_1\times\{g\}\times Z}$ and $2\pi i \psi_g|_{\{\infty\}\times\{g\}\times Z} = u(a)$. It follows from (9) that

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \psi_a\big(g(z+\lambda)\big) \quad = \quad \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \psi_g(\lambda, g, z) = \psi_g(\infty, g, z) = \frac{u(a)}{2\pi i}, \tag{10}$$

which implies, together with the property $\psi_b(a) = u(a)/2\pi i$, that $\mathfrak{h}_{ab} = \psi_b - \psi_a$ is cuspidal with respect to the constant 0.

The integer valued cocycle in (7) is clearly in the kernel of $\exp(2\pi i \cdot)$. Suppose $(\mathfrak{h}_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{H}$ is in the kernel, then it must be integer valued; in particular, $\mathfrak{h}_{ab}$ is constant on any connected component of LU_{ab}. It follows from the cuspidal property that $\mathfrak{h}_{ab}(x) = 0$ if $\mathrm{ind}_{ab} x = 0$. Since small perturbations of ζ and x do not change $\mathrm{ind}_{a\zeta}(x)$, $\frac{d}{d\zeta}\mathfrak{h}_{a\zeta}(x) = 0$ in a neighborhood of (ζ, x) if $\mathrm{ind}_{a\zeta} x = 0$. So the holomorphic function F defined in (6) is constant 0. In other words, the integer valued $(\mathfrak{h}_{ab})$ is in the kernel of α, so it is an integer multiple of the cocycle in (7). $\square$

If we endow $\mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ with the complex Lie group structure from the one of $\mathfrak{H}^*$, then the map $\mathfrak{E}$ in (8) is $\exp(2\pi i\cdot)$, where exp is the exponential map of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$.

Theorem 1.1 follows from Lemma 3.4 and the following proposition, by which the kernel of $\mathfrak{E}$ can be considered as $H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 3.5. *The group $H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z})$ is the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by the Čech cohomology class of the cocycle in (7).*

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, $H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Since every open subset of the covering $L\mathfrak{U}$ is contractible, we have $H^1(L\mathfrak{U}, \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z})$, e.g. see [2, Corollary E.6]. Suppose $[\rho]$ is a generator of $H^1(L\mathfrak{U}, \mathbb{Z})$, where ρ is a 1-cocycle with respect to $L\mathfrak{U}$, then we can write the cocycle in (7) uniquely as $m\rho + \rho'$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and ρ' is exact; in particular, any component ρ'_{ab} is constant. On $LU_{0\infty}$ we have $\mathrm{ind}_{0\infty}x = m\rho_{0\infty} + \rho'_{0\infty}$; the left hand side takes value of any integer, so it is impossible unless $|m| = 1$. □

Next we draw a couple of corollaries of Theorem 1.1.

Note that any 1-cocycle in $\mathfrak{H}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{H}^*$) determines a Čech cohomology class in $H^1(L\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$ (resp. $H^1(L\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}^*)$).

Proposition 3.6. *The map $\mathfrak{H} \to H^1(L\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$ (resp. $\mathfrak{H}^* \to H^1(L\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}^*)$) is injective.*

Proof. If $\{\mathfrak{h}_{ab}\} \in \mathfrak{H}$ is exact, i.e. there exist $h_a \in \mathcal{O}(LU_a)$, $a \in \mathbb{P}_1$, such that $\mathfrak{h}_{ab} = h_a - h_b$, then it follows from the cuspidal property of $\mathfrak{h}_{ab}$ that

$$\lim_{\mathbb{C}\ni\lambda\to\infty} h_a\big(g(x+\lambda)\big) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} (\mathfrak{h}_{ab} + h_b)\big(g(x+\lambda)\big) = h_b(a),$$

where $g \in G$ with $g(\infty) = a$, $x \in LU_\infty$. So h_a is constant along the curve $\{g(x+\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{P}_1\} \subset L\mathbb{P}_1$, and $h_a \equiv h_b(a)$. Similarly h_b is also constant, and $\mathfrak{h}_{ab} = 0$. The conclusion for $\mathfrak{H}^* \to H^1(L\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}^*)$ follows from a similar argument. □

We can therefore consider $\mathfrak{H}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{H}^*$) as a subspace (resp. subgroup) of $H^1(L\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$ (resp. $H^1(L\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}^*)$), which is, in turn, a subspace (resp. subgroup) of $H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O})$ (resp. $H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O}^*)$).

Corollary 3.7. *The map $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \simeq \mathfrak{H} \to H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Any holomorphic function on $L\mathbb{P}_1$ is constant, see [10, Page 5]. So $H^0(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{C}$ and $H^0(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O}^*) = \mathbb{C}^*$. The short exact sequence of sheaves $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\exp(2\pi i\cdot)} \mathcal{O}^* \to 0$ induces a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^* \to H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O}) \\ \xrightarrow{\exp(2\pi i\cdot)} H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O}^*) \to H^2(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \to \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where the kernel of $\exp(2\pi i\cdot)$ is $H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathfrak{H} \subset H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O})$, and the range of $\exp(2\pi i\cdot)$ is the subgroup of $H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O}^*) \simeq \mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ of bundles with the vanishing first Chern class, i.e. $\mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.5 that the map $\exp(2\pi i\cdot)$ and its restriction on $\mathfrak{H}$ have the same kernel and the same range; therefore $\mathfrak{H} = H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O})$. $\square$

Since the kernel of the exponential map $\exp = \mathfrak{E}(\cdot/2\pi i) : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H}^*$ is included in the kernel of α, we have a well-defined G-equivariant homomorphism $\alpha^* : \mathfrak{H}^* \to \mathfrak{F}$ with the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\ker(\alpha) \arrow[r, "\subset"] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{H} \simeq H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\exp"] & \mathfrak{F} \arrow[d, "id"] \\
\ker(\alpha^*) \arrow[r, "\subset"] & \mathfrak{H}^* \simeq \mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1) \arrow[r, "\alpha^*"] & \mathfrak{F}.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{11}$$

Proposition 3.8. *The map α^* is a G-morphism with a G-equivariant right inverse, its kernel consists of cocycles of the form*

$$\left(\zeta^{\mathrm{ind}_{ab} x}\right), \ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^*. \tag{12}$$

Proof. The right inverse of α^* is obtained as the composition of the right inverse of α and the map $\exp : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H}^*$. It is straightforward to verify that elements of $\ker(\alpha^*) = \exp(\ker(\alpha))$ take the form as in (12). $\square$

It follows from the above proposition that $\mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1) \simeq \mathfrak{H}^* \simeq \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathfrak{F}$. Given any $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ with the first Chern class $c_1(\Lambda) = n \in H^2(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{Z})$, we can write as in [10, (2.5)] that

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_t^n \otimes \left(\Lambda_t^{-n} \otimes \Lambda\right), \tag{13}$$

where Λ_t is a fixed line bundle in $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^{LG}$ with $c_1(\Lambda_t) = 1$, so $\Lambda_t^{-n} \otimes \Lambda \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$. We have proved the following

Corollary 3.9. $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \simeq \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathfrak{F} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

It is clear that there is a unique complex Lie group structure on $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ such that $\mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ is the component containing the unit.

We finish this section by a simple property of the map $\mathfrak{E}$ in (1).

Let $\mathrm{Aut}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ be the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $L\mathbb{P}_1$.

Proposition 3.10. *The map $\mathfrak{E}$ is $\mathrm{Aut}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$-equivariant.*

Proof. The conclusion follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{E}"'] & H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O}) \arrow[d, "\exp(2\pi i\cdot)"'] \\
\mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1) \arrow[r] & H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O}^*)
\end{tikzcd}$$

with injective row maps (as defined before Corollary 3.7) and the fact that all other maps in the diagram are $\mathrm{Aut}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$-equivariant. $\square$

4. The proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we study the structure of $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G$ (resp. $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G$) through $\mathfrak{H}^G$ (resp. $(\mathfrak{H}^*)^G$), and in particular, prove Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 4.1. *$\mathfrak{H}^G = \alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{F}^G)$ and $(\mathfrak{H}^*)^G = (\alpha^*)^{-1}(\mathfrak{F}^G)$.*

Proof. Each element of $\ker(\alpha)$ represents a cohomology class in $H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{C})$, which is LG-fixed, so its cohomology class in $H^1(L\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ is also LG-fixed. By Proposition 3.10 and diagram (11), each element of $\ker(\alpha^*)$ is LG-fixed. Since the G-morphism α (resp. α^*) has a G-equivariant right inverse, the conclusion follows immediately. $\square$

Next we explicitly construct all elements of $\mathfrak{H}^G$ (resp. $(\mathfrak{H}^*)^G$).

Let $\mathrm{Hom}(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$) be the group of all holomorphic homomorphisms from the loop group $L\mathbb{C}^*$ to $\mathbb{C}$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}^*$). With the compact-open topology, $\mathrm{Hom}(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$) is a complex locally convex space (resp. complex Lie group). If $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C})$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$, then $\psi(z) = 0$ and $\varphi(z) = z^n$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^* \subset L\mathbb{C}^*$, where n is a fixed integer; we call this n the order of φ and denote it by $\mathrm{ord}(\varphi)$. We write $\mathrm{Hom}^0(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$ for the subgroup of zero order elements of $\mathrm{Hom}(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$.

Let $(L\mathbb{C})'$ be the space of continuous linear functionals on $L\mathbb{C}$ and let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\phi \in (L\mathbb{C})' : \phi|_{\mathbb{C}} \equiv 0\}.$$

Associated with any $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C})$ there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L\mathbb{C} \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d, "\exp"'] & \mathbb{C} \arrow[d, "\exp"] \\
L\mathbb{C}^* \arrow[r, "\varphi"'] \arrow[ur, "\psi"] & \mathbb{C}^*,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{14}$$

where $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}^0(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is obtained by composition, and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ is the Lie algebra homomorphism of ψ and φ. Let $L^0\mathbb{C}^* \subset L\mathbb{C}^*$ be the subgroup of loops with winding number 0. Given $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$, the homomorphism $L^0\mathbb{C}^* \ni x \mapsto \phi(\ln(x)) \in \mathbb{C}$ is independent of the choice of $\ln(x)$ and therefore well defined; it is straightforward to verify that the space of all ϕ (resp. φ) generated as in (14) is $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}^0(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$).

Let $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C})$, $g_{ab} \in G$ with $g_{ab}(a) = \infty$ and $g_{ab}(b) = 0$. Consider ψ as a holomorphic function on $LU_{\infty 0}$, and define $\mathfrak{h}^{\psi}_{ab} \in \mathcal{O}(LU_{ab})$ to be the pull back $g^*_{ab}\psi$. The property $\psi|_{\mathbb{C}} \equiv 0$ implies that $\mathfrak{h}^{\psi}_{ab}$ is independent of the choice of g_{ab}; in particular, it takes the form

$$\mathfrak{h}^{\psi}_{ab}(x) = \begin{cases} \psi(x-b), & a = \infty; \\ -\psi(x-a), & b = \infty; \\ \psi\left((x-b)(x-a)^{-1}\right), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

So $\mathfrak{h}^\psi = (\mathfrak{h}^\psi_{ab})_{a,b\in\mathbb{P}_1}$ is a Čech 1-cocycle with respect to the covering $L\mathfrak{U}$. It follows from the definition that $\mathfrak{h}^\psi$ is G-fixed. We claim that $\mathfrak{h}^\psi$ is cuspidal with respect to constant 0. As $\mathfrak{h}^\psi$ is G-fixed, it is enough to show that $\mathfrak{h}^\psi_{\infty 0} = \psi$ is so. Indeed,

$$\lim_{\mathbb{C}\ni\lambda\to\infty} \psi(x+\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}+1\right) = \psi(1) = 0.$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{h}^\psi \in \mathfrak{H}^G$.

If we replace ψ by $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}^0(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$ in above, then the same process yields a multiplicative Čech 1-cocycle $\mathfrak{h}^{*\varphi} = (g^*_{ab}\varphi) \in (\mathfrak{H}^*)^G$, which is precisely the one constructed as in [10, (2.4)] (in a different context).

Lemma 4.2. *Let ψ, ϕ and φ be as in (14). Then*

(a) $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}^\psi) = \alpha^*(\mathfrak{h}^{*\varphi}) = \phi$ *and* $\mathfrak{F}^G = \mathcal{A}$, *where we consider* $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ *as a function on* $\mathbb{C} \times L\mathbb{C}$ *independent of the first variable.*

(b) *The map* $\psi \mapsto \mathfrak{h}^\psi$ *(resp.* $\varphi \mapsto \mathfrak{h}^{*\varphi}$*) is an isomorphism* $\mathrm{Hom}(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{H}^G$ *(resp.* $\mathrm{Hom}^0(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^*) \to (\mathfrak{H}^*)^G$*) of complex locally convex spaces (resp. complex Lie groups).*

Proof. (a) By [6, Page 258] and the definition (2) of the function space $\mathfrak{F}$, any $F \in \mathfrak{F}^G$ is independent of the first variable, linear with respect to the second variable and $F|_{\mathbb{C}} \equiv 0$; i.e. $\mathfrak{F}^G \subset \mathcal{A}$.

To compute $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}^\psi) \in \mathfrak{F}^G$, choose $a = \infty$ in (6), and we only need to compute the derivative at $\zeta = 0$ (as $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}^\psi)$ is independent of ζ). By (15),

$$d_\zeta \mathfrak{h}^\psi_{\infty\zeta}\Big|_{\zeta=0} = \lim_{\zeta\to 0} \frac{\psi(x-\zeta)-\psi(x)}{\zeta} = \lim_{\zeta\to 0} \frac{\psi\left(1-\zeta/x\right)}{\zeta} = \phi\left(-1/x\right),$$

where the second limit above represents the derivative of ψ at $1 \in L\mathbb{C}^*$ in the direction of $-1/x$. It follows that $\phi(-1/x) = \alpha(\mathfrak{h}^\psi)(-1/x)$ for any $x \in LU_0$, or $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}^\psi) = \phi$. As α is G-equivariant, $\phi \in \mathfrak{F}^G$, so $\mathfrak{F}^G = \mathcal{A}$. Note that $\exp(\mathfrak{h}^\psi) = \mathfrak{h}^{*\varphi}$, it follows from the definition of α^* that $\alpha^*(\mathfrak{h}^{*\varphi}) = \phi$.

(b) As $\mathfrak{h}^\psi_{\infty 0} = \psi$, the map $\psi \mapsto \mathfrak{h}^\psi$ is clearly injective. By (a) each $F \in \mathfrak{F}^G$ is of the form $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}^\psi)$, also note that the generator of $\ker(\alpha)$ in (7) is of the form $\mathfrak{h}^\psi$, where $\psi(x)$ is the winding number of $x \in L\mathbb{C}^*$, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that each element of $\mathfrak{H}^G$ is of the form $\mathfrak{h}^\psi$. Since $\mathfrak{h}^\psi_{ab} = g^*_{ab}\psi$ and $\psi = \mathfrak{h}^\psi_{\infty 0}$, the isomorphism $\psi \mapsto \mathfrak{h}^\psi$ is topological. The conclusion for the map $\varphi \mapsto \mathfrak{h}^{*\varphi}$ follows from a similar argument. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2: By (13), the conclusion $\mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G = \mathrm{Pic}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^{LG}$ follows from $\mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G = \mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)^{LG}$. Let $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G$. By Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 4.2(b), there exists $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}^0(L\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$ such that Λ is generated by the 1-cocycle $\mathfrak{h}^{*\varphi}$, the same one as constructed in [10, (2.4)]. By [10, Propositions 2.2, 2.1], Λ is LG-fixed.

Given $[f] \in H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G$, it follows from Proposition 3.10 that $\mathfrak{E}([f]) \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G = \mathrm{Pic}^0(L\mathbb{P}_1)^{LG}$. Let $[f_0]$ be a generator of $\mathbb{Z} \simeq \ker(\mathfrak{E}) \subset H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^{LG}$ (see Lemma 3.4). Consider the action of LG on $[f]$. We must have $g[f] = [f] + m(g)[f_0]$, where $g \in LG$, and m is an integer valued continuous function on LG, constant on each component of LG. We claim that $m(g) \equiv 0$ and therefore $[f] \in H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^{LG}$. Otherwise, it follows from the property $m(g^n) = nm(g)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that m takes infinitely many values, but LG only has finitely many components, contradiction. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] M. Crabb, I. James, *Fibrewise Homotopy Theory*, Springer-Verlag, London, 1998.

[2] R. C. Gunning, *Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables, Vol III, Homological theory*, Wadsworth, Inc., Belmont, 1990.

[3] A. Kriegl, P. W. Michor, *The convenient setting of global analysis*, American Mathematical Society, 1997.

[4] L. Lempert, *The Dolbeault complex in infinite dimensions*, I, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998) 485-520.

[5] L. Lempert, *Holomorphic functions on (generalised) loop spaces*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. **104A** (2004), 35-46.

[6] L. Lempert, N. Zhang, *Dolbeault cohomology of a loop space*, Acta Math. **193** (2004), 241-268.

[7] J. J. Millson, B. Zombro, *A Kähler structure on the moduli space of isometric maps of a circle into Euclidean space*, Invent. Math. **123** (1996) 35-59.

[8] R. S. Palais, *Foundations of global non-linear analysis*, W. A. Benjamin, INC., New York, 1968.

[9] I. Patyi, *On the $\bar{\partial}$-equation in a Banach space*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **128** (2000) 391-406.

[10] N. Zhang, *Holomorphic line bundles on the loop space of the Riemann sphere*, J. Diff. Geom. **65** (2003), 1-17. 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, NY 13244
E-mail address: `nzhang02@syr.edu`